

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MONTEV 00939 282103 Z

72

ACTION TRSE-00

INFO OCT-01 ARA-11 ADP-00 L-03 AID-20 SS-14 NSC-10 CIAE-00

INR-09 IO-12 JUSE-00 NSAE-00 RSC-01 SNM-02 SY-07

USIA-12 SCI-06 OMB-01 BNDE-00 RSR-01 INRE-00 /110 W  
----- 046202

P R 282025 Z MAR 73

FM AMEMBASSY MONTEVIDEO

TO / SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4099

INFO AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

C O N F I D E N T I A L MONTEVIDEO 0939

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: SNAR, UY, US

SUBJ: NARCOTICS: GOU- US COOPERATION

BUENOS AIRES & BRASILIA FOR BNDD AND CUSTOMS

REF: MVD 820

1. I CALLED ON MININTERIOR COL. BOLENTINI ON MARCH 21.  
AFTER EXPRESSING OUR APPRECIATION FOR HIS MINISTRY'S EFFORTS  
IN BRINGING MURDERS OF DAN MITRIONE TO JUSTICE, I TURNED  
CONVERSATION TO US- URUGUAYAN COOPERATION IN NARCOTICS AFFAIRS.  
I EXPRESSED OUR UNDERSTANDING OF HIS CONCERN THAT US WAS  
NOT SUPPLYING GOU WITH SUFFICIENT INFORMATION CONCERNING

NARCOTICS CASES IN WHICH THERE WAS A MUTUAL INTEREST AND WITH  
HIS VIEW THAT COOPERATION BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES SHOULD  
BE ON BASIS OF RECIPROCITY IN INFORMATION ( REFTEL). TO  
CORRECT THESE PAST DEFICIENCIES, I OFFERED TO HAVE APPROPRIATE  
US NARCOTICS OFFICIALS FULLY BRIEF MINISTER AND WHOMEVER  
HE WISHED TO INCLUDE. BOLENTINI EXPRESSED APPRECIATION FOR  
OUR SENSITIVITY TO HIS CONCERNS AND READILY ACCEPTED MY  
OFFER. HE THEN ASSURED ME OF GOU COOPERATION IN BRINGING  
" CATCH- ALL" DEFENDANTS TODINO AND PICARIELLO TO JUSTICE IN  
US ( SEPTTEL FOLLOWS).

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MONTEV 00939 282103 Z

2. ON MARCH 27 BNDD S/ A SAUCEDO AND US CUSTOMS AGENT CSUKAS BRIEFED MINISTER BOLENTINI AND CHIEF OF INTELLIGENCE DIVISION CASTIGLIONI ON INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS OPERATIONS WITH EMPHASIS ON USDECIDED NOT TO ENGAGE IN EXTENSIVE DISCUSSION ON MBFR DEVELOPMENTS. HE WONDERED WHETHER THAT JUDGEMENT SHOULD NOT EQUALLY APPLY TODAY. DE STAERCKE ( BEL) AGREED, OBSERVING THAT POSTPONEMENT OF QUADRILATERAL MEETING CONSTITUTED FAVORABLE SIGN THAT SOVIETS WERE CONSIDERING WESTERN PROPOSAL SERIOUSLY; IF THEY WERE SIMPLY GOING TO REJECT IT CATEGORICALLY AND NOT COME UP WITH ANY SUGGESTIONS FOR COMPROMISE, THEY COULD PRESUMABLY DO SO IN LESS TIME. HE NOTED THAT NEW LANGUAGE FOR STATEMENTS ON HUNGARY HAD BEEN DRAFTED AND WAS UNDER DISCUSSION IN THE AHG. ( REF PRESUMABLY IS TO TEXTS IN VIENNA 2367). DE STAERCKE THOUGHT BOTH THE PROCEDURE AND THE LANGUAGE ITSELF ACCEPTABLE AND WITHIN NAC GUIDANCE.

2. SPIERENBURG ( NETHERLANDS) PROFESSED SOME CONFUSION ABOUT WHAT TEXTS DE STAERCKE WAS TALKING ABOUT AND SAID HE WAS CONCERNED ABOUT VIENNA DEVELOPMENTS. HE REPEATED HIS GOVT' S INTEREST IN AN AGENDA ITEM ON NON- CIRCUMVENTION AND SAID IF THIS CONCEPT IS QUESTIONED HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD QUESTION EVERYTHING. HE ALSO OBJECTED TO THE " LITTLE GAME" VIENNA REPS ENGAGING IN. CATALANO ( ITALY) SAID HE HAD NOT RECEIVED THE TEXTS DE STAERCKE REFERRED TO, BUT IF USE OF SUCH STATEMENTS WOULD EVOKE A COUNTER- DECLARATION ( RE ITALIAN STATUS), HE WOULD BE OPPOSED. DE STAERCKE SUGGESTED THAT COUNCIL WAIT UNTIL ALL PERMREPS HAD THE TEXTS IN HAND BEFORE ENGAGING IN DISCUSSION AND PROVIDING ANY GUIDANCE TO AHG.

3. MENZIES ( CANADA) INDICATED THAT HE HAD THE TEXTS AND SAID HIS READING OF VIENNA REPORTS INDICATED THAT AHG WAS MERELY DOING A LITTLE ANTICIPATORY DRAFTING AND NOTHING MORE. HE THOUGHT NAC SHOULD LEAVE IT TO VIENNA REPS TO CARRY ON AS THEY HAD BEEN DOING CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 01558 282101 Z

AND TO DEFINE OPTIONS FOR NAC DISCUSSION WHICH HE FELT AHG WOULD PROBABLY BE READY TO DO BY THE MIDDLE OF NEXT WEEK. MENZIES THOUGHT AHG HAD BEEN OPERATING CAUTIOUSLY AND RESPONSIBLY, ADDING THAT IF NATO COUNTRIES WERE AS WELL COORDINATED IN HELSINKI WE WOULD BE MUCH BETTER OFF. DE STAERCKE SUPPORTED FIRST HALF OF THIS OBSERVATION AS DID RUMSFELD ( UL) WHO EXPRESSED VIEW THAT AHG WAS STAYING CAREFULLY WITHIN GUIDANCE RECEIVED. RUMSFELD

SPECIFICALLY DISAGREED WITH SPIERENBURG THAT AHG PLAYING GAMES  
AND SAID HE KNEW OF NO PROBLEMS IN VIENNA REQUIRING NAC DISCUSSION  
AT THIS JUNCTURE.

4. SPIERENBURG SAID HE COULD ONLY REPORT WHAT HE READ IN HIS  
TELEGRAM. FOR EXAMPLE, HE HAD NO OBJECTION TO INTERNAL AHG  
DISCUSSION OF HOW AND WHEN AGENDA TOPICS MIGHT BE PUT TO THE OTHER  
SIDE BUT HE HAD IMPRESSION THAT AHG WAS DISCUSSING POSSIBILITY  
OF HAVING TWO AGENDA " BASKETS" ONE WESTERN AND ONE WARSAW PACT  
AND HE WAS NOT HAPPY ABOUT THIS LINE OF DISCUSSION. PECK ( UK)  
SAID HE HAD NO DIFFICULTY WITH CURRENT HANDLING OF PROBLEM IN  
VIENNA AND THAT CURRENT DISCUSSION IN AHG OF HOW AND WHEN TO  
PROBE ON AGENDA TOPICS WAS QUITE ACCEPTABLE. PECK NOTED THAT  
QUESTION OF RECESS IN VIENNA HAD COME UP AND SUGGESTED COUNCIL  
DISCUSS. LUNS AGREED THIS SHOULD BE DONE AT NEXT WEEK' S MEETING.  
RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 28 MAR 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** morefirh  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973MONTEV00939  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** MONTEVIDEO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730338/aaaaihsc.tel  
**Line Count:** 135  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION TRSE  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** morefirh  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:** ANOMALY  
**Review Date:** 20 SEP 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <20-Sep-2001 by freemaal>; APPROVED <01-Nov-2001 by morefirh>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> jms 971230  
**Subject:** NARCOTICS: GOU- US COOPERATION  
**TAGS:** SNAR, US, UY  
**To:** BRASILIA  
BUENOS AIRES  
SECSTATE WASHDC  
TRSE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005